

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/532,929	SVECHTAROV ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alan Wong	2817	

All Participants:

(1) Alan Wong.

(2) Peter Zawilski.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 July 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amended Specification contradiction to Page 5 line 17-18. Using the old spec because it is clearer as page 6 line 1-11 explain why one is thermally connected and experienced degradation while the other one is only thermally connected.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)